

No. 4843-4Lab-72/36243.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Robindra Textile Mills, 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 37 of 1972

between

SHRI VIJAY KUMAR CHAKARWARTI AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S
ROBINDRA TEXTILE MILLS, 14/5, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present:—

Nemo for the applicant.

Shri D.C. Bhardwaj for the management.

AWARD

The management of M/s Robindra Textile Mills, 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad terminated the services of its workman Shri Vijay Kumar Chakarwari with effect from 28th September, 1971. He raised a dispute which was referred for adjudication to this court by the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide order No. ID/FD/770-B-71/1575, dated 20th January, 1972, the term of reference being as given under:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Vijay Kumar Chakarwari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

The management has pleaded settlement of the dispute and payment of the entire dues to the workman who was called upon to appear today to admit or deny the alleged settlement. He has, however elected not to appear and refute the above plea raised on behalf of the management.

Statement of Shri D.C. Bhardwaj authorised representative of the management has been recorded. According to him a sum of Rs. 243.33 has been paid to Shri Vijay Kumar Chakarwari,—vide receipt Exhibit M. 2 in full and final settlement of his claim in terms of the settlement dated 31st December, 1971 Exhibit M. 1 and there is now no dispute left between the parties.

In view of the facts stated above, I am satisfied that Shri Vijay Kumar Chakarwari concerned workman has settled his dispute with the management and received payment of his entire dues foregoing his claim for reinstatement or re-employment in terms of the memorandum of settlement dated 31st December, 1971 Exhibit M. 1 which appear to be reasonable. In the circumstances, a no-dispute award is given without making any order as to costs.

Dated 1972

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1792, dated 29th August, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 9857-4Lab-72/36247.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award

of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Hindustan National Glass and Industries Ltd., Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA
ROHTAK

Reference No. 153 of 1971

Between

SHRI RAGHBIR SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. HINDUSTAN NATIONAL
GLASS AND INDUSTRIES LTD., BAHADURGARH

Present :

Shri Rajinder Singh for the applicant.

Shri C. B. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred for adjudication to this court the following dispute between the management of M/s. Hindustan National Glass and Industries Ltd., Bahadurgarh and their workman Shri Raghbir Singh,—vide order No. ID/RK/13-A-71/27034-38 dated 1st September, 1971 the term of reference being as under :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Raghbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statement. It is however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as the dispute has amicably settled outside the court. The statements of the authorised representative of the parties have been recorded. Shri Raghbir Singh concerned workman has received the payment of his entire dues from the management foregoing his right of reinstatement or re-employment. There is now no dispute left between the parties who have asked for a no dispute award in the case. I, therefore, make a no dispute award as desired by the parties. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 16th August 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana.
Rohtak.

No. 1775, dated 29th August, 1972]

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak

No. 9856-4Lab-72/36249.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Chhabra Industries, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 116 of 1971

between

SHRI LALTA TIWARI AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S CHHABRA INDUSTRIES, BAHADURGARH

Present :

Shri Rajinder Singh for the applicant.

Shri Jagjit Singh for the management.

AWARD

The management of M/s Chhabra Industries, Bahadurgarh terminated the services of its workman Shri Lalta Tiwari,—vide order dated 29th December, 1970. He raised a dispute claiming reinstatement and back wages which was referred to this court for adjudication by the Governor of Haryana in exercise of powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide No. ID/RK/134-C/20822-26, dated 8th July, 1971, the term of reference being as under :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Lalta Tiwari was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the order of reference the parties were called upon to put in their respective written statement, which was done. It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as the dispute has been amicably settled outside the court. Shri Lalta Tiwari concerned workman having expressed his desire to clear his account,—vide application dated 27th January, 1972 Ex. M. 1, the management has paid him Rs 98 in full and final settlement of all his claim,—vide receipt Ex. M. 2. He is not entitled to any other relief by way of reinstatement etc. His authorised representative Shri Rajinder Singh admits his signatures on Ex. M. 1 and Ex. M. 2.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given in the case as desired by the parties. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 16th August, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 1773, dated the 29th August, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 9822-4Lab-72/36251.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management M/s Usha Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Application No. 3 of 1972 under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

between

SHRI KAPTAN SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S USHA SPINNING AND
WEAVING MILLS LTD., FARIDABAD

Present.—

Shri Darshan Singh, for the workman.

Dr. Anand Parkash, for the management.

AWARD

This is a complaint under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per the statement of his authorised representative, Shri Kaptan Singh concerned workman has settled the dispute as per the Memorandum of Settlement Ex. M-1 and he has been paid his entire dues with the result that he has now no claim left against the management.

In view of the above, the complaint shall stand dismissed as settled. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated: 28th August, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 910, dated the 28th August, 1972.

Forwarded (4 copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 23th August, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9858-4Lab-72/36253.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Chhabra Industries, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 82 of 1971

between

SHRI CHAND RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S CHHABRA INDUSTRIES, BAHADURGARH

Present—

Shri Rajinder Singh for the applicant.

Shri C. B. Gupta for the management.

AWARD

Shri Chand Ram was working as a Moulder with M/s Chhabra Industries, Bahadurgarh. The management terminated his services on 29th December, 1970. He raised a demand for his reinstatement and back wages which was not acceded to by the management. He, therefore, served the management with demand notice dated 29th December, 1970 whereupon conciliation proceedings were initiated, but without any success. On receipt of the failure report in the Conciliation Officer, the Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the dispute for adjudication to this court,—vide No. ID/RK/134-D/16512-16, dated 31st May, 1971, the term of reference being as under :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Chand Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statement. It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as Shri Chand Ram concerned workman has settled his dispute with the management. He expressed his desire not to serve under the management,—vide this application dated 24th July, 1972 Ex. M. 1 and asked for the clearance of his account. The management accordingly paid Rs 95 to him,—vide receipt Ex. M. 2 in full and final settlement of all his claims. He has not turned up today. The statement of Shri Jagjit Singh, partner in the respondent industry has been recorded. Shri Rajinder Singh authorised representative of Shri Chand Ram applicant workman does not dispute his signatures on Ex. M. 1 and Ex. M. 2 and the facts stated above. He has asked for a no dispute award.

In view of the above a no dispute award is made in the case. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 16th August, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 1774, dated 29th August, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.